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Introduction & Aim

- High retention rates are critical for successful clinical trials.
- Participants in clinical research on stimulant abuse have demonstrated variable attendance at intervention and research visits (Dutra et al., 2008).
- Reasons for decreased attendance and barriers to retention should be addressed prior to becoming an issue (Goldberg and Kiernan, 2005).

Aim: Implement an approach to help the study team and study candidate make an informed decision in a relatively short period of time about research participation that spanned 9 months for candidates whose plans were often uncertain. The approach was consistent with our eligibility criteria and focused on proactive identification of barriers to retention and adherence and solutions to these potential difficulties.

Study Background

- The STimulant Reduction Intervention using Dosed Exercise (STRIDE; CTN 0037) trial recruited individuals who were abusing stimulants (and not opioid dependent).
- Recruitment and randomization occurred during residential treatment.
- Study participation continued for 9 months, including residential treatment (21-30 days where feasible) and community-based treatment.
- Eligible participants were randomized to dosed-exercise or health education.
- Full details of the protocol and eligibility criteria are published (Trivedi et al., 2011).

Study Design

Methods

The "Fireside Chat"

- Formal discussions about study participation were scheduled between study staff and potential participants prior to randomization.
- The STRIDE approach was nicknamed the "Fireside Chat" to denote the warm, supportive tone of the conversation.
- Topics first addressed during the informed consent were revisited.
- Proactive identification of possible barriers and potential solutions was emphasized.
- "The Chat" was scheduled after a majority or all of the baseline assessments were completed and ideally one day before randomization.
- The collaboration typically lasted 30-45 minutes.
- Study staff paused frequently and participants were encouraged to ask questions throughout the conversation.
- Ideally the Chat was facilitated by a senior researcher and all staff were in attendance.
- The discussion ended by communicating enthusiasm and appreciation for the participant’s time and willingness to pursue research involvement.

Specific Areas Highlighted and Addressed

- Rationale for the Chat due to attrition and missing data in past studies, underscoring the importance of having complete data to answer the research question.
- Detailed review of visit frequency/structure and study length.
- Detailed review of compensation and incentive structure.
- Personal barriers to retention and "fit" of the study in the participant’s life.
- Differences in research and clinical staff with a focus on confidentiality.
- Detailed review of each study condition.
- Condition preference (if applicable) was acknowledged but 50/50 randomization was underscored.
- Uniqueness of the experience.
- Opportunity to inform treatment for others with substance abuse problems.
- Opportunity to improve treatment for themselves.

NOTE: Participants were asked to revisit this discussion at a later point (e.g., the end of the acute phase or if/when attendance became less reliable).

Questions used by Study Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Necessity of the Talk</th>
<th>Intention of Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) How do you see the study fitting in your day-to-day life?</td>
<td>• Help the participant imagine what the real experience would be like.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) How have you managed difficult commitments in the past?</td>
<td>• Gauge the participant’s abilities to overcome barriers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) What would it mean to you to commit to this study for the entire study timeframe?</td>
<td>• Foster commitment and enthusiasm for research involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) What are other times that you might be available?</td>
<td>• Appeal to the participant’s sense of empathy as their data could help affect others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods (Cont.)

Specific Barriers

1) What is your current transportation method?                                       • Give the participant the opportunity to enumerate potential barriers, as well as possible solutions to the myriad life events that may affect participation.
2) How willing are you to use public transportation?                                  • Give the participant the opportunity to express possible concerns.
3) Where do you live currently? Thoughts on commute time?                             • Give the participant the opportunity to think through the visit scheduling.
4) What is your past/current occupation and work schedule?                           • Allowing the participant to express possible concerns.
5) What is your chance of moving during the study timeframe?                           • Participant the opportunity to think about the specifics involved with each study condition.
6) Do you have children? Do you have help with childcare?                             • Help the participant explore any reservations about the specifics of the intervention(s).
7) What vacations are you planning (if any) during the study?                         • Explore feelings of shame & embarrassment that could make it hard for the participant to return following relapse.
8) Do you have any upcoming surgeries/medical procedures?                             • Normalize experience of relapse.
9) What medical ailments might affect study participation?                             • Underscore importance of study participation.
10) What legal issues have you experienced in the past?                                • Emphasize research and clinical staff distinction and confidentiality.
11) What else might come up for you during the study that could interfere with participation?

Questions used by Study Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Barriers</th>
<th>Intention of Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) What is your current transportation method?</td>
<td>• Help the participant imagine what the real experience would be like.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) How willing are you to use public transportation?</td>
<td>• Gauge the participant’s abilities to overcome barriers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Where do you live currently? Thoughts on commute time?</td>
<td>• Foster commitment and enthusiasm for research involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) What is your past/current occupation and work schedule?</td>
<td>• Appeal to the participant’s sense of empathy as their data could help affect others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) What is your chance of moving during the study timeframe?</td>
<td>• Allowing the participant to express possible concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Do you have children? Do you have help with childcare?</td>
<td>• Give the participant the opportunity to think through the visit scheduling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) What vacations are you planning (if any) during the study?</td>
<td>• Participant the opportunity to enumerate potential barriers, as well as possible solutions to the myriad life events that may affect participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Do you have any upcoming surgeries/medical procedures?</td>
<td>• Give the participant the opportunity to express possible concerns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results & Discussion

- Anecdotally, one site noted retention improvements after Fireside Chat implementation and participants often expressed appreciation for taking part in the Fireside Chat.
- Any improvements cannot be solely attributed to the Fireside Chat as research teams were also becoming more accustomed to the protocol and participants.
- However, research team members were able to refer back to the Fireside Chat and more easily and openly discuss barriers to continued study participation.
- Clinical research and participants may benefit from a formalized discussion about realistic study expectations and potential barriers prior to randomization.
- Clinical research with ongoing participant contact should consider tailoring this approach to increase retention and future investigation with this approach is advised.
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